Quantum Field Theory, Vacuum Fluctuations, and Structured Quantum Noise

Deepinder Sidhu
Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering
University of Maryland, Baltimore County
sidhu@umbc.edu

July 19, 2026

Abstract

Structured Quantum Noise (SQN) models environmental noise as a structured quantum process rather than as scalar attenuation alone. Previous work established the mathematical framework for SQN and demonstrated its implications for coherence redistribution and quantum decoherence. This paper addresses a different question: whether Quantum Field Theory (QFT) and vacuum fluctuations provide a physically motivated microscopic realization of the structured environments assumed by SQN. In QFT, the vacuum is the correlated ground state of quantum fields rather than an empty classical background, with measurable consequences including the Lamb shift, spontaneous emission, radiative corrections, and the Casimir effect. Building on this standard field-theoretic picture, we propose that vacuum fluctuations provide one possible microscopic realization of the structured environments assumed by the Structured Quantum Noise framework. In particular, interactions between a physical particle or qubit and one member of a virtual particle–antiparticle pair may transfer entanglement from the original virtual pair to a new entangled state involving the physical system, thereby providing one possible microscopic realization of the structured environment assumed by the Structured Quantum Noise framework. The paper does not modify the formalism of QFT, does not claim that all decoherence originates from vacuum fluctuations, and does not repeat the mathematical developments presented in earlier SQN papers. Its contribution is to establish the interpretive chain QFT $\rightarrow$ Vacuum Fluctuations $\rightarrow$ Structured Quantum Noise.

Keywords: quantum field theory; quantum vacuum; vacuum fluctuations; structured quantum noise; virtual particle–antiparticle pairs; entanglement transfer; quantum correlations; open quantum systems

1 Introduction

Structured Quantum Noise was introduced to model noise as a structured quantum process involving environmental degrees of freedom, retained pathways, memory effects, and coherence redistribution, rather than as scalar attenuation alone [20]. That work developed the mathematical framework. A related follow-on paper analyzed how repeated information redistribution can make observable coherence progressively inaccessible and thereby explain quantum decoherence within that framework [19].

The present paper does not repeat those mathematical developments. Instead, it asks a different question: what microscopic physical mechanism may provide one possible realization of the structured environments assumed by Structured Quantum Noise? We investigate whether standard Quantum Field Theory and quantum vacuum fluctuations provide such a realization.

The quantum vacuum is not empty space. In quantum field theory, it is the ground state of quantum fields and possesses fluctuation and correlation structure [10,13,15,22]. This structure has measurable consequences, including the Lamb shift, spontaneous emission, radiative corrections, and the Casimir effect [1,4,5,12,13]. The common virtual particle–antiparticle picture is a heuristic representation of vacuum fluctuations in perturbative quantum field theory; virtual particles are not directly observed free particles, but the field correlations represented by this language are physically meaningful [13,15,22].

This paper proposes a conservative interpretation: repeated interactions between a physical particle or qubit and virtual particle–antiparticle pairs associated with vacuum fluctuations provide one possible microscopic realization of the structured environment assumed by the Structured Quantum Noise framework. The central mechanism is entanglement transfer. When one member of a virtual particle–antiparticle pair interacts with a physical particle or qubit, the original entanglement associated with the virtual pair may be transferred to a new entangled pair consisting of the physical particle or qubit and one member of the virtual pair. Entanglement transfer is a standard concept in quantum information theory, closely related to entanglement swapping and redistribution of quantum correlations under unitary interactions [2,9,14,23].

The single conceptual chain developed in this paper is therefore

$$\boxed{\text{Quantum Field Theory} \longrightarrow \text{Vacuum Fluctuations} \longrightarrow \text{Structured Quantum Noise}}. \tag{1}$$

The consequences of Structured Quantum Noise for coherence evolution and quantum decoherence are the subject of the earlier papers and are not rederived here [19,20].

2 Quantum Field Theory and Vacuum Fluctuations

Quantum field theory treats particles as excitations of underlying fields. The modern field-theoretic formulation developed through the work of Dirac, Tomonaga, Schwinger, Feynman, Dyson, and others [6–8,17,18,21]. In this framework, the vacuum is the lowest-energy state of a quantum field, not a state of absolute emptiness [10,13,15,22].

A scalar field provides the simplest illustration. The field operator may be expanded as

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}} V}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ikx} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ikx} \right), \tag{2}$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ are annihilation and creation operators [15,22]. The vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined by

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{k}. \tag{3}$$

Although no real particles are present in this state, field fluctuations remain. A compact expression of this fact is that vacuum correlation functions are generally nonzero:

$$\langle 0|\phi(x)\phi(y)|0\rangle \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

More generally, the Feynman propagator is

$$G_F(x-y) = \langle 0|T\{\phi(x)\phi(y)\}|0\rangle, \tag{5}$$

where T denotes time ordering [7,8,15]. This correlation function represents the correlation structure of the vacuum field across spacetime.

The vacuum also carries zero-point energy. For a mode of frequency $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$,

$$E_{\mathbf{k},0} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{6}$$

and formally the vacuum contribution is

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{7}$$

The physical interpretation of this expression requires regularization and care, but the relevant point here is that the quantum vacuum is not a classical empty background [13, 15, 22].

Vacuum fluctuations have experimentally observable consequences. The Lamb shift reflects radiative corrections and coupling to vacuum electromagnetic fluctuations [1, 12]. The Casimir effect demonstrates that boundary conditions on vacuum modes can produce measurable forces [4, 13]. Spontaneous emission can be described as the interaction of an excited atom with the electromagnetic vacuum [5]. These examples establish that the quantum vacuum participates dynamically in physical processes.

The common language of virtual particle–antiparticle pairs is a heuristic representation of field fluctuations in perturbative quantum field theory [7,8,15]. Virtual particles are not directly observable free particles. Nevertheless, the particle-pair picture is useful for the present paper because it emphasizes that vacuum fluctuations possess correlated quantum structure with which a physical particle or qubit may interact.

3 Vacuum Fluctuations and Structured Quantum Noise

The mathematical framework of Structured Quantum Noise was developed in previous work and is not repeated here [20]. This section gives only the physical interpretation proposed in the present paper.

In the particle-pair picture, a vacuum fluctuation is associated with a virtual particle–antiparticle pair. Let the pair be denoted by $(v, \bar{v})$, and let P denote a physical particle or qubit. The initial entanglement associated with the virtual pair may be represented schematically as

$$\mathrm{Ent}(v, \bar{v}). \tag{8}$$

If one member of the virtual particle–antiparticle pair interacts with the physical particle or qubit, entanglement transfer may occur:

$$\mathrm{Ent}(v, \bar{v}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ent}(P, v), \tag{9}$$

or

$$\mathrm{Ent}(v, \bar{v}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ent}(P, \bar{v}), \tag{10}$$

depending on which member of the virtual pair participates in the interaction. This notation is schematic; it is intended to express the redistribution of quantum correlations under unitary interaction, not to define a new entanglement measure. Related transfer of quantum correlations is standard in entanglement swapping and quantum information protocols [2, 9, 14, 23].

The key point is that entanglement is not transferred to the physical particle alone. Entanglement is transferred from the original virtual particle–antiparticle pair to a new entangled pair consisting of the physical particle or qubit and one member of the original virtual pair. In a conservative formulation, the combined system evolves unitarily, while the observed subsystem may become correlated with unobserved field states. This is the same open-system logic used in standard decoherence theory, but here the proposed physical interpretation of the structured environment is supplied by vacuum fluctuations [3, 11, 16, 24].

A minimal Hilbert-space representation is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{H}_P \otimes \mathcal{H}_v \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\bar{v}}. \tag{11}$$

An initial state may be written schematically as

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = |P\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{v\bar{v}}\rangle, \tag{12}$$

where $|\Phi_{v\bar{v}}\rangle$ is an entangled state associated with the virtual particle–antiparticle pair. An interaction between P and one member of the pair is represented by a unitary operator acting on the enlarged system:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = U(t)\left(|P\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{v\bar{v}}\rangle\right). \tag{13}$$

The reduced state of the physical particle or qubit is obtained by tracing over the unobserved field components:

$$\rho_P(t) = \mathrm{Tr}_{v,\bar{v}}\left[|\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)|\right]. \tag{14}$$

This expression is included only to show the standard open-system relation between unitary evolution of the enlarged system and the reduced state of the observed subsystem [3, 16]. The detailed mathematical theory of coherence decay is not repeated in this paper.

The proposed interpretation can now be stated directly: repeated interactions between a physical particle or qubit and virtual particle–antiparticle pairs associated with vacuum fluctuations provide one possible microscopic realization of the structured environment assumed by Structured Quantum Noise. The structured environment is therefore not treated merely as an abstract reservoir. It may be interpreted, at least in part, as arising from repeated interactions with the correlated structure of the quantum vacuum.

The conceptual progression of the paper is

$$\boxed{\text{Quantum Field Theory} \longrightarrow \text{Vacuum Fluctuations} \longrightarrow \text{Structured Quantum Noise}}. \tag{15}$$

The consequences of Structured Quantum Noise for coherence evolution and quantum decoherence were developed in earlier work and are assumed here [19, 20]. The present paper contributes only the physical interpretation linking vacuum fluctuations to the structured environment.

4 Summary and Conclusions

This paper proposed a conservative physical interpretation of Structured Quantum Noise based on quantum field theory and vacuum fluctuations. The interpretation begins with standard quantum field theory: the vacuum is the ground state of quantum fields, possesses nonzero field correlations, and participates dynamically in observable effects such as the Lamb shift, spontaneous emission, radiative corrections, and the Casimir effect [1, 4, 5, 12, 13].

The single idea developed here is that vacuum fluctuations may provide a physical interpretation of the structured environment assumed by the Structured Quantum Noise framework. In the virtual particle–antiparticle picture, one member of a virtual pair may interact with a physical particle or qubit, allowing entanglement transfer from the original virtual particle–antiparticle pair to a new entangled pair consisting of the physical particle or qubit and one member of the virtual pair.

Repeated interactions of this type provide a possible physical interpretation of Structured Quantum Noise.

The paper does not claim that all decoherence arises from vacuum fluctuations, does not replace standard open quantum-system theory, and does not modify the formalism of Quantum Field Theory. It only proposes the interpretive chain

$$\boxed{\text{Quantum Field Theory} \longrightarrow \text{Vacuum Fluctuations} \longrightarrow \text{Structured Quantum Noise}}. \tag{16}$$

The resulting decoherence behavior is treated in the earlier Structured Quantum Noise and Noise Black Hole papers and is not rederived here [19, 20].

If experimentally supported, this interpretation would establish a direct conceptual connection between the microscopic structure of the quantum vacuum and the structured environmental dynamics introduced by Structured Quantum Noise, providing a physically motivated foundation for future theoretical and experimental investigations.

Whether this proposed microscopic interpretation is ultimately confirmed or refined, it provides a concrete physical hypothesis that can be investigated analytically, numerically, and experimentally within established Quantum Field Theory and open quantum-system frameworks.

Future work should develop explicit interaction Hamiltonians, identify appropriate entanglement measures, and determine whether this interpretation yields experimentally distinguishable signatures in systems where vacuum-mode structure can be engineered, such as cavity QED, circuit QED, photonic structures, and Casimir-modified environments.

References

[1] Hans A. Bethe. The electromagnetic shift of energy levels. *Physical Review*, 72(4):339–341, 1947.

[2] Sougato Bose, Vlatko Vedral, and Peter L. Knight. Multiparticle generalization of entanglement swapping. *Physical Review A*, 57(2):822–829, 1998.

[3] Heinz-Peter Breuer and Francesco Petruccione. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. Oxford University Press, 2002.

[4] H. B. G. Casimir. On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen*, 51:793–795, 1948.

[5] Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, Jacques Dupont-Roc, and Gilbert Grynberg. *Atom–Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Applications*. Wiley, 1992.

[6] P. A. M. Dirac. The quantum theory of the emission and absorption of radiation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, 114(767):243–265, 1927.

[7] Freeman J. Dyson. The radiation theories of tomonaga, schwinger, and feynman. *Physical Review*, 75(3):486–502, 1949.

[8] Richard P. Feynman. Space-time approach to quantum electrodynamics. *Physical Review*, 76(6):769–789, 1949.

[9] Ryszard Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, Michał Horodecki, and Karol Horodecki. Quantum entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2):865–942, 2009.

[10] Claude Itzykson and Jean-Bernard Zuber. *Quantum Field Theory.* McGraw-Hill, 1980.

[11] Erich Joos, H. Dieter Zeh, Claus Kiefer, Domenico J. W. Giulini, Joachim Kupsch, and Ion-Olimpiu Stamatescu. *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory.* Springer, 2 edition, 2003.

[12] Willis E. Lamb and Robert C. Retherford. Fine structure of the hydrogen atom by a microwave method. *Physical Review*, 72(3):241–243, 1947.

[13] Peter W. Milonni. *The Quantum Vacuum: An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics.* Academic Press, 1994.

[14] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information.* Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[15] Michael E. Peskin and Daniel V. Schroeder. *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory.* Addison-Wesley, 1995.

[16] Maximilian Schlosshauer. *Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition.* Springer, 2007.

[17] Julian Schwinger. On quantum-electrodynamics and the magnetic moment of the electron. *Physical Review*, 73(4):416–417, 1948.

[18] Julian Schwinger. On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization. *Physical Review*, 82(5):664–679, 1951.

[19] Deepinder Sidhu. Quantum decoherence: Coherence diffusion into a noise black hole. *Manuscript*, 2026. Cyberspace Analytics / UMBC research manuscript.

[20] Deepinder Sidhu. Structured quantum noise: Hyperentanglement, dof-resolved dynamics, and coherence redistribution. *Manuscript*, 2026. Cyberspace Analytics / UMBC research manuscript.

[21] Sin-Itiro Tomonaga. On a relativistically invariant formulation of the quantum theory of wave fields. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 1(2):27–42, 1946.

[22] Steven Weinberg. *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Volume I: Foundations.* Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[23] Marek Żukowski, Anton Zeilinger, Michael A. Horne, and Artur K. Ekert. "event-ready-detectors" bell experiment via entanglement swapping. *Physical Review Letters*, 71(26):4287–4290, 1993.

[24] Wojciech H. Zurek. Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3):715–775, 2003.